



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/011,167	10/05/1998	JOHANNES J. GEUZE	RILE.001.OOU	9536
31272	7590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
RAE-VENTER LAW GROUP, P.C.			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P	
P.O. BOX 1898 MONTEREY, CA 93942-1898			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	09/011,167	GEUZE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant appropriate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
•	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2003.						
,							
,	<del>,</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn fr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/011,167

Art Unit: 1644

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a rule 371 continuation of PCT Serial Number PCT/NL96/00317.

1. In view of Applicant's amendment filed July 15, 2003, which was misdirected by the Office and filed prior to the Final Office Action mailed August 13, 2003, the Office Action mailed August 13, 2003 is HEREBY VACATED. The present Office Action is responsive to Applicant's amendment filed July 15, 2003.

In order to clarify the pending claims of the present application, the following claim summary is presented:

Claims 1-10 were pending in the original Rule 371 application filed February 2, 1998. In the preliminary amendment filed February 2, 1998, claims 1, 5, 7 and 8 were canceled. In the preliminary amendment filed February 2, 1998, claims 11-13 were added. In the amendment filed August 12, 2002, claims 2-4, 6 and 9-12 were canceled. In the amendment filed August 12, 2002, new claims 14-17 were added.

Accordingly, claims 13-17 are currently pending.

### **Continued Prosecution Application**

2. The request filed on July 15, 2003 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/011,167 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

# Election/Restrictions

3. Claim 17 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 12, filed July 3, 2000.

Claim 17 is drawn to vesicles comprising both MHC class I and MHC class II molecules. However, Applicant elected vesicles comprising MHC class II molecules and it was explained in the Office Action mailed August 14, 2000 that MHC class I and MHC class II peptides are processed via separate pathways.

Accordingly, claims 13-16 are the subject of examination in the present Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/011,167 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Harding et al. (J. Immunology, 151:3988-3998, 1993, of record).

Harding teaches the subcellular fractionation of murine peritoneal macrophages to produce lysosomal fractions containing MHC Class II molecules (see entire article, especially page 3990, column 2, last 2 paragraphs in particular), and that fractions containing lysosomes and light density membranes contained peptide-MHC-II complexes that were detected by T cells, (see entire article especially page 3992, column 1, last paragraph in particular). Harding teaches the differential centrifugation of the membrane-containing fractions over a Percoll gradient at 100,000 x g, which is greater than the 70,000 x g recited in claims 14 and 16 and will pellet out all material obtainable at 70,000 x g. Harding teaches that B cells, another type of antigen presenting cell, comprise similar compartments (page 3997, second column in particular). The prior art teaching anticipates the claimed invention.

5. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Amigorena et al (Nature, 369:113-120, 1994, of record).

Amigorena teaches the subcellular fractionation of a B cell line to produce fractions containing membrane vesicles with MHC Class II molecules (see entire article, especially page 114, column 2, last paragraph in particular), which contained processed peptide (see entire article, especially page 118, first paragraph of the Discussion Section in particular). The prior art teaching anticipates the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

6. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00; Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/011,167

Art Unit: 1644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner April 6, 2004 PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER